Original Research Proposal (ORP) Outcome Form
University of Tennessee-Knoxville Department of Chemistry

Student Name: ________________________________ ORP Oral Defense Date: ________

Instructions: The student will print out a copy of this form and bring it to their defense. The major professor must complete this form and submit it to the main office when the ORP process is complete in order for the student to receive credit for CHEM 604.

Outcome of Oral Defense of Original Research Proposal:

Pass ____ Requires Further Development ____ Fail ____

Written Remediation Required _____ (see details below) Due Date: ________

_____ Revision of complete proposal
_____ Revision of specified sections of proposal
_____ Additional document or proposal addendum

Written remediation details and feedback from the committee

Oral Remediation Required _____ (see details below) Due Date: ________

_____ Revised presentation and re-defense
_____ Re-presentation of slides and re-defense
_____ Additional examination through question/answer only

Oral remediation details and feedback from the committee

Description of any Other Required Remediation Due Date: ________

ORP Decision:  Pass ___ Fail ___ ORP Decision date: ________

Committee signatures:

Major Professor Print: ___________________ Sign: ___________________

In Division Member Print: ___________________ Sign: ___________________

Out of Division Member Print: ___________________ Sign: ___________________

Out of Department Member Print: ___________________ Sign: ___________________

2023
Original Research Proposal Scoring Form

Student Name: ________________________________  ORP Oral Defense Date: ________

Instructions: The student will print out a copy of this form and bring it to their defense. The major professor must complete this form and submit it to the main office for the student to receive credit for CHEM 604. Committee members may complete separate scoring sheets, but scores must be compiled on one ORP scoring form. Only the ORP oral defense will be scored (not any oral remediation).

Scoring scale: All categories will be graded on the following scale. Scores may be provided at half point intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UN</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unable to judge</td>
<td>Significantly below expectations</td>
<td>Somewhat below expectations</td>
<td>Meets expectations</td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grading categories, explanations and score sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria:</th>
<th>Major Professor</th>
<th>In-division</th>
<th>Out of division</th>
<th>Out of department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Understanding and Application of Chemistry concepts (Understanding of both fundamental chemistry concepts and project-specific information; knowledge of relevant background literature)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Professionalism and Clarity of Written Document (Clarity of project background, goals and future proposed studies; Conformity with proposal format and requirements; Writing quality)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Professionalism and Clarity of the Oral Presentation (Clarity of the information presented; Quality of slides; Speaking skills; Interaction with audience)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Originality of Research Ideas (Novelty of the research project, Student’s intellectual contribution to the project, understanding of how the project fits into a broader context)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>