

**Candidacy Research Proposal (CRP) Outcome Form
University of Tennessee-Knoxville Department of Chemistry**

Student Name: _____

CRP Oral Defense Date: _____

Instructions: The student will print out a copy of this form and bring it to their defense. The major professor must complete this form and submit it to the main office when the CRP process is complete in order for the student to receive credit for CHEM 603.

Outcome of Oral Defense of Candidacy Research Proposal:

Pass _____

Requires Further Development _____

Fail _____

Written Remediation Required _____ (see details below)

Due Date: _____

_____ Revision of complete proposal

_____ Revision of specified sections of proposal

_____ Additional document or proposal addendum

Written remediation details and feedback from the committee

Oral Remediation Required _____ (see details below)

Due Date: _____

_____ Revised presentation and re-defense

_____ Re-presentation of slides and re-defense

_____ Additional examination through question/answer only

Oral remediation details and feedback from the committee

Description of any Other Required Remediation

Due Date: _____

CRP Decision: Pass _____ Fail _____

CRP Decision Date: _____

Committee signatures:

Major Professor Print: _____ Sign: _____

In Division Member Print: _____ Sign: _____

Out of Division Member Print: _____ Sign: _____

Out of Department Member Print: _____ Sign: _____

Candidacy Research Proposal Scoring Form

Student Name: _____

CRP Oral Defense Date: _____

Instructions: The student will print out a copy of this form and bring it to their defense. The major professor must complete this form and submit it to the main office for the student to receive credit for CHEM 603. Committee members may complete separate scoring sheets, but scores must be compiled on one CRP scoring form. Only the CRP oral defense will be scored (not any oral remediation).

Scoring scale: All categories will be graded on the following scale. Scores may be provided at half point intervals.

UN	1	2	3	4
Unable to judge	Significantly below expectations	Somewhat below expectations	Meets expectations	Outstanding

Grading categories, explanations and score sheet

Criteria:	Major Professor	In-division	Out of division	Out of department
1. Understanding and Application of Chemistry concepts (Understanding of both fundamental chemistry concepts and project-specific information; knowledge of relevant background literature)				
2. Professionalism and Clarity of Written Document (Clarity of project background, goals and future proposed studies; Conformity with proposal format and requirements; Writing quality)				
3. Professionalism and Clarity of the Oral Presentation (Clarity of the information presented; Quality of slides; Speaking skills; Interaction with audience)				
4. Quality and Extent of Research Results (Productivity of the student in the laboratory, Ability to present, interpret and explain results)				
5. Originality of Research Ideas (Novelty of the research project, Student's intellectual contribution to the project, understanding of how the project fits into a broader context)				